E-FILED 11/15/12 1 CLOSED TERM #16; LINK #18 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 TINA M. MENDOZA a Single Case No. 5:12-CV-01532-PSG-DTB 12 Woman; Judge Philip S. Gutierrez 13 Plaintiff, 14 VS. 15 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT OF 16 US BANK N.A., AS TRUSTEE; DISMISSAL Central Loan Administration & 17 Reporting; 18 US BANK N.A as Trustee for 19 MARMO6-0A2,; and Does 1 through 10, inclusive 20 21 Defendants. 22 23 24 TO AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF 25 **RECORD, IF ANY:** 26 On November 09, 2012, the Court entered an order on the Motion to 27 Dismiss of Defendants, U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee for 28 -1-[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL

| 1 | MARM06-OA2 (erroneously sued separately as U.S. Bank National Association, |
|----|--|
| 2 | as Trustee and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee for MARM06-OA2) |
| 3 | and Cenlar, FSB (erroneously sued as Central Loan Administration & Reporting) |
| 4 | (collectively "Defendants") to the Complaint of Plaintiff Tina Mendoza. Pursuant |
| 5 | to the Court's Order, The Motion to Dismiss is Granted without leave to amend. |
| 6 | In accordance with said order, |
| 7 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that a Judgment of |
| 8 | Dismissal with prejudice is entered in favor of Defendants U.S. Bank National Association, |
| 9 | as Trustee for MARM06-OA2, and Cenlar, FSB, and against Plaintiff Tina Mendoza. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Dated: 11/15/12 By: PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez |
| 13 | Judge of the U.S. District Court. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | -2- |
| | [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT OF DISMISS AT |

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Marilee V. Johnson, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 280, Newport Beach, California 92660. I am readily familiar with the practices of Wright, Finlay & Zak, LLP, for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day in the ordinary course of business.

On November 13, 2012, I served the within [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL on all interested parties in this action as follows:

[X] by placing [] the original [X] a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:

Tina M. Mendoza 544 South Willow Avenue Rialto, CA 92376 (951) 544-6642; NO EMAIL Plaintiff in Pro Se

[] (BY MAIL SERVICE) I placed such envelope(s) for collection to be mailed on this date following ordinary business practices.

- [] (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused to be delivered such envelope by hand delivered to the office of the addressee.
- [] (BY FACSIMILE) The facsimile machine I used, with telephone no. (949) 608-9142, complied with California Rules of Court, Rule 2003, and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2006(d), I caused the machine to print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to the original Proof of Service.
- [] (BY ELECTRONIC MAIL) I caused each such document to be transmitted electronically to the parties at the e-mail address indicated. To the best my knowledge, the transmission was reported as complete, and no error was reported that the electronic transmission was not completed.